arXiv:1608.05507v1 [math.RT] 19 Aug 2016

# A NEW METHOD TO PROVE THE IRREDUCIBILITY OF THE EIGENSPACE REPRESENTATIONS FOR $\mathbb{R}^n$ SEMIDIRECT WITH FINITE PSEUDO-REFLECTION GROUP

JINGZHE XU

Abstract. We show that the Eigenspace Representations for $\mathbb{R}^n$ semidirect with a finite pseudo-reflection group $K$, which satisfy some generic property are equivalent to the induced representations from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K$, which satisfy the same property by Mackey little group method.And the proof of the equivalence is by using matrix coefficients and invariant theory.As a consequence, these eigenspace representations are irreducible.

## 1. Introduction

Eigenspace Representations for Riemannian symmetric spaces play a crucial rule in the research both of Group representations and Geometric Analysis. Many mathematicians have a great interest in the conditions for which the eigenspace representations are irreducible. In fact, Robert Steinberg[S1] has made a great contribution to it, by using purely invariant theory of finite reflection groups. However, our approach is by using both of Mackey little group method and invariant theory. What's more, the main part of our proof is just accourding to a monomorphism. Therefore, it makes the proof much simpler. Also, Sigurdur Helgason is another master, who has classified the irreducibility about the eigenspace representations with respect to both of the compact type and the noncompact type [H1] of the symmetric space $G/K$. What's more, when $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes O(n)$, $K = O(n)$, the eigenspace about $G/K \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ becomes $\varepsilon_\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in \varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid Lf = -\lambda^2 f\}$, where $L$ denotes the ususal Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$. And Helgason also proved the natural action of $G$ on $\varepsilon_\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is irreducible if and only if $\lambda \neq 0$[H2]. In this paper, we prove a more general result. We find a generic property under which the eigenspace representations for $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K$, where $K$ is a finite pseudo-reflection group are irreducible.

**Definition 1.1.** finite pseudo-reflection groups

if $K$ is a finite subgroup of $GL(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $M \in K$, then $M$ is called a pseudo-reflection if precisely one eigenvalue of $M$ is not equal to one. We

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E46, 22E47.

*Key words and phrases.* Eigenspace Representations, finite pseudo-reflection group, Mackey little group method, matrix coefficient, invariant theory.

call $K$ is a finite pseudo-reflection group if $K$ is a finite subgroup of $O(n)$, which is generated by pseudo-reflections.

The main idea of the proof is as follows: On one hand, we use Mackey little group method to get induced representations of $G$, which are irreducible. On the other hand, for any such representation $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K}\chi$, which satisfies the generic property, we use matrix coefficient method to get a monomorphism from it to some eigenspace representation$(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$, which satisfies the same property. The amazing part is we know $\dim Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K}\chi = \mid K \mid$ and will prove $\dim E_\lambda \leq \mid K \mid$ by using invariant theory. Therefore, $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K}\chi$ is equivalent to $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$ and $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$ is irreducible.

This paper is as follows. In Sect.2, we give the definitions of semidirect product of $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K$, eigenspace representations of $G$ and the generic property. What's more, we recall the basic knowledge of Mackey litte group method. In Sect.3,we show there is a monomorphism from the unitary irreducible induced representations of $G$ to some eigenspace representations both of which satisfy the generic property. In Sect.4, we show the dimension of any eigenspace of $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K$ is smaller or equal to the order of $K$ by using invariant theory. Thus,the eigenspace representations, which satisfy the generic property are irreducible. In Sect.5, we illustrate the circumstances for a particular example when $K$ is equal to the Dihendral group $D_n$.

## 2. Notation and Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** semidirect product

Let $K$ be a finite pseudo-reflection group,

Define

$$\varphi : K \to Aut(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
$$A \mapsto A$$

Then

$$(x_1, k_1) \rtimes (x_2, k_2) = (x_1 + k_1 \cdot x_2, k_1 \cdot k_2)$$
$$(x, k)^{-1} = (-k^{-1} \cdot x, k^{-1}).$$

Under this definition,we have the identification

$$\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K/K \cong \mathbb{R}^n$$

**Definition 2.2.** Eigenspace Representations

Let $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K$, where $K$ is a finite pseudo-reflection group. $D(G/K)$ is the algebra of all differential operators on $G/K$ which are invariant under $K$. For each homomorphism $\chi : D(G/K) \to \mathbb{C}$, consider the joint eigenspace

$$E_\chi = \{f \in C^\infty(G/K) \mid Df = \chi(D)f \ \forall D \in D(G/K)\}$$

Let $T_\chi$ denote the natural representation of $G$ on this eigenspace,

$$(T_\chi(g)f)(x) = f(g^{-1} \cdot x)$$

for $g \in G, f \in E_\chi, x \in G/K$.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Fourier transform gives a $K$-equivalent from the space $D(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of constant coefficient differential operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$ onto the space $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$. In particular, it gives an isomorphism of $D(G/K)$ onto $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]^K$.*

**Lemma 2.4.** *The homomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]^K$ into $\mathbb{C}$ are precisely $\chi_\lambda : P \mapsto P(\lambda)$, where $\lambda$ is some element in $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

From Lemma 2.3[J] and Lemma 2.4[H3], we obtain for any $P(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}) \in D(G/K)$, where $P(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ any $\chi : D(G/K) \to \mathbb{C}$, there exists a unique

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

s.t.

$$\chi(P(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})) = P(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$$

Therefore, for any homomorphism $\chi : D(G/K) \to \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$E_\chi = \{f \in C^\infty(G/K) \mid P(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})f = P(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)f, \forall P(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]^K\}$$

For simplicity, we denote $E_\chi = E_\lambda$ from now on.

**Definition 2.5.** Generic Property

Let $\chi$ be any character on $\mathbb{R}^n$, then there exists

$$\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ i\lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \cdots, n$ s.t.

$$\chi(x) = e^{-\lambda^T \cdot x} \ for \ any \ x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

We say $\chi($ *or* $\lambda)$ is generic if for any $g \neq e$ $g \in K, g \cdot \lambda \neq \lambda$ holds.

We say $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$ satisfies the generic property if the same condition holds.

**Mackey little group method**

If $\psi$ is an irreducible representation of $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K$, then it can be constructed(up to equivalence) as follows. If $\chi$ is a character on $\mathbb{R}^n$, let $K_\chi$denote its $K$-normalizer, so $G_\chi = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K_\chi$ is the $G$-normalizer of $\chi$. Write $\widetilde{\chi}$ for the extension of $\chi$ to $G_\chi$ given by $\widetilde{\chi}((x, k)) = \chi(x)$; it is a well defined character on $G_\chi$. If $\gamma$ is an irreducible unitary representation

of $K_\chi$, let $\widetilde{\gamma}$ denote its extension of $G_\chi$ given by $\widetilde{\gamma}((x,k)) = \gamma(k)$. Denote $\psi_{\chi,\gamma} = Ind_{G_\chi}^{G}(\widetilde{\chi} \bigotimes \widetilde{\gamma})$. Then there exists choices of $\chi$ and $\gamma$ such that $\psi = \psi_{\chi,\gamma}$.

We say $\psi_{\chi,\gamma}$ satisfies the generic property if $\chi$ is generic.

## 3. The monomorphism

In this section, we first introduce some lemmas, and then we use these lemmas to show there exists a monomorphism from the induced representations to some eigenspace representations both of which satisfy the generic property.

**Lemma 3.1.** *In the notation of Section 2, $\psi_{\chi,\gamma}$ has a $K$-fixed vector if and only if $\gamma$ is the trivial 1-dimensional representation of $K_\chi$. In that case, $\psi_{\chi,\gamma} = Ind_{G_\chi}^{G}(\widetilde{\chi})$ and the $K$-fixed vector is given(up to scalar multiple)by $u((x,k)) = e^{\lambda^T \cdot k^T \cdot x}$, if $\chi(x) = e^{-\lambda^T \cdot x}$.*

*Proof.* The representation space $H_\psi$ of $\psi = \psi_{\chi,\gamma}$ consists of all $L^2$ functions $f : G \to H_\gamma$ such that $f(g^{'} \cdot (x^{'}, k^{'})) = \gamma(k^{'})^{-1} \cdot \chi(x^{'})^{-1} \cdot f(g^{'})$ for $g^{'} \in G, x^{'} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k^{'} \in K_\chi$, and $\psi$ acts by $(\psi(g)f)(g^{'}) = f(g^{-1} \cdot g^{'})$.

Now suppose that $0 \neq f \in H_\psi$ is fixed under $\psi(k)$. If $k^{'} \in K_\chi$, then $\gamma(k^{'}) \cdot f(1) = f(1)$. If $f(1) = 0$, then $f(G_\chi) = 0$ and $K$-invariance says $f = 0$, contrary to assumption. Thus $f(1) \neq 0$ and irreducibility of $\gamma$ forces $\gamma$ to be trivial.

Conversely, if $\gamma$ is trivial and $\chi(x) = e^{-\lambda^T \cdot x}$, then $f((x,k)) = e^{\lambda^T \cdot k^T \cdot x}$ is a nonzero $K$-fixed vector in $H_\psi$. And it is the only one, up to scalar multiple, because any two $K$-fixed vectors must be proportional. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *In the notation of Section 2, $Ind_{G_\chi}^{G}(\widetilde{\chi})$ is equivalent to the subrepresentation of $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{G}(\chi)$, which is generated by the $K$-fixed vector $u((x,k)) = e^{\lambda^T \cdot k^T \cdot x}$. Here $\chi(x) = e^{-\lambda^T \cdot x}$. In addtion, both of these two representations are irreducible.*

*Remark* 3.3. If the induced representation satisfies the generic proporty, then $K_\chi = \{e\}$. From Lemma 3.1, 3.2[J], we obtain $\psi_{\chi,\gamma} = Ind_{G_\chi}^{G}(\widetilde{\chi})$ is equivalent to $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{G}(\chi)$, which is generated by the $K$-fixed vector $u((x,k)) = e^{\lambda^T \cdot k^T \cdot x}$.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let $(\Phi, V) = Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{G}(\chi)$, which satisfies the generic property. Then $(\Phi^c, V^*)$ is generated by $u^* = (\ , u)$, where $(f_1, f_2) = \int_G f_1(g)\overline{f_2(g)}dg$ for any $f_1, f_2 \in V$ and $u((x,k)) = e^{\lambda^T \cdot k^T \cdot x}$ is the $K$ fixed vector in $V$, if $\chi(x) = e^{-\lambda^T \cdot x}$.*

*Proof.* The representation space $V$ of $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{G}(\chi)$ consists of all $L^2$ functions $f : G \to V$ such that $f(g^{'} \cdot (x^{'}, e)) = \chi(x^{'})^{-1} \cdot f(g^{'})$ for $g^{'} \in G, x^{'} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, $(f_1, f_2) = \int_G f_1(g)\overline{f_2(g)}dg$ for any $f_1, f_2 \in V$ is a inner product,

which satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\Phi(g_0)f_1, \Phi(g_0)f_2) = \int_G \Phi(g_0)f_1(g)\overline{\Phi(g_0)f_2(g)}dg \\
&= \int_G f_1(g_0^{-1}\cdot g)\overline{f_2(g_0^{-1}\cdot g)}d(g_0^{-1}\cdot g) = \int_G f_1(g)\overline{f_2(g)}dg = (f_1, f_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}
$$

for any $f_1, f_2 \in V$, $g_0 \in G$. On one hand, let $v_1, \cdots, v_n$ be an orthonormal basis of $V$. Then denote $v_i^* = (\ , v_i) \in V^*$. It's easy to check $v_1^*, \cdots, v_n^*$ is a basis of $V^*$. Let $E : V \to V^*$ s.t. $v_i \mapsto v_i^*$. be a linear map. Then $E$ is isomorphic as a linear map. From Remark 3.3, we know $V$ is irreducible and $V$ is generated by $u((x,k))$. Let $v_k = \sum_i l_{ki}\Phi(g_i)u$,$\Phi(g_i)u = \sum_j a_{ij}v_j$. Then for $\forall v \in V$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
v &= \sum_k m_k v_k = \sum_k m_k(\sum_i l_{ki}\Phi(g_i)u) \\
&= \sum_i(\sum_k m_k l_{ki})\Phi(g_i)u \\
&= \sum_i n_i\Phi(g_i)u = \sum_i n_i(\sum_j a_{ij}v_j).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}
$$

Therefore, the element of $V$ is of the form $\sum_i n_i(\sum_j a_{ij}v_j) \ \ \forall n_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $E$ is bijective, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^* &= \{\sum_i n_i(\sum_j a_{ij}v_j^*), n_i \in \mathbb{C}\} \\
&= \{\sum_i \overline{n_i}(\sum_j \overline{a_{ij}}v_j^*), n_i \in \mathbb{C}\}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}
$$

On the other hand, if $\Phi(g)u = \sum_i k_i v_i$, we have $\Phi^c(g)u^* = \Sigma_i\overline{k_i}v_i^*$

This can be proved as follows: If we let $u = \sum_i m_i v_i$,and let $u^* = \sum_i \overline{m_i}v_i^*$

Then we have the following result

$$
\begin{aligned}
(u^*, v) &= \sum_i \overline{m_i}v_i^*(v) \\
&= \sum_i \overline{m_i}(v, v_i) \\
&= (v, \sum_i m_i v_i) = (v, u)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Phi^c(g)u^*, v) &= (u^*, \Phi(g^{-1})v) \\
&= (\Phi(g^{-1})v, u) = (v, \Phi(g)u) \\
&= (v, \sum_i k_i v_i) = \sum_i \overline{k_i}v_i^*(v)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}
$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^* &= \{\sum_i \overline{n_i}(\sum_j \overline{a_{ij}} v_i^*), n_i \in \mathbb{C}\} \\
&= \{\sum_i \overline{n_i}\Phi^c(g_i)u^*, n_i \in \mathbb{C}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}
$$

$\therefore u^*$ generates $V^*$. □

**Theorem 3.11.** *For any $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$, which satisfies the generic property, we can find a representation $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^G(\chi) = (\Phi, V)$, which satisfies the same condition such that there exists monomorphism from $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^G(\chi)$ to $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$. Here $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K$.*

*Proof.* For any $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$, we consider $(\Phi, V) = Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^G(\chi)$, where $\chi(x) = e^{-\lambda^T \cdot x}$. Let $H = \{f_v(g) = \langle \Phi^c(g)u^*, v\rangle \ \forall v \in V\}$. It's easy to get $(\pi, H)$ is a representation of $G$, where $\pi(g_0)f(g) = f(g_0^{-1} \cdot g)$ for any $g_0 \in G$.

On one hand, we show $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K}(\chi)$ is equivalent to $(\pi, H)$. Let $F : V \to H$ by $v \mapsto f_v(g) = (\Phi^c(g)u^*, v)$. It's easy to get $F$ is linear and surjective. Next, we prove $E$ is injective. Suppose $f_v(g) = 0 \ \forall g \in G$. From Theorem 3.4, we know $u^*$ generates $V^*$. If $v \neq 0$, we can find a $v^* \in V^*$ s.t. $(v^*, v) = 1$. However, from $(\Phi^c(g)u^*, v) = 0 \ for \ \forall g \in G$, we get $(v^*, v) = 0$ a contradiction. $\therefore v = 0$ Therefore, $F$ is bijective, which implies $F$ is isomorphism as a linear map.

Next,for any $h \in G, v \in V$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F \circ \Phi(h)v &= (\Phi^c(g)u^*, \Phi(h)v) \\
&= (\Phi^c(h^{-1})\Phi^c(g)u^*, v) = (\Phi^c(h^{-1}g)u^*, v) \\
&= f_v(h^{-1}g) = \pi(h)f_v(g) = \pi(h) \circ F \circ v.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}
$$

$\therefore F \circ \Phi(h) = \pi(h) \circ F$ for any $h \in G$. Therefore, $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K}(\chi)$ is equivalent to $(\pi, H)$.

On the other hand,we show $(\pi, H)$ is a subrepresentation of $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$.

Firstly, $f_v(g)$ is smooth. And for any $k \in K, g \in G$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_v(gk) &= (\Phi^c(gk)u^*, v) = (\Phi^c(g)\Phi^c(k)u^*, v) \\
&= (\Phi^c(g)u^*, v) = f_v(g).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}
$$

$\therefore f_v(gk) = f_v(g)$ For any $g \in G, k \in K$. $\therefore f_v(g)$ is $K$-right invariant smooth function of $G$. We can regard $f_v(g)$ as a function, which belongs to $C^\infty(G/K)$.

Secondly, for any $g = (x_0, k_0) = (x_0, e)(0, k_0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_v(g) &= (\Phi^c(g)u^*, v) \\
&= (\Phi^c((x_0, e)(0, k_0)u^*, v) = (\Phi^c((x_0, e))u^*, v) \\
&= (u^*, \Phi((x_0, e)^{-1})v) = (u^*, e^{\lambda^T \cdot k^T \cdot x_0} v).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}
$$

For any $P(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},\cdots,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})\in D(G/K)$, from Lemma 2.3, we have $P(x_1,\cdots,x_n)\in \mathbb{C}[x_1,\cdots x_n]^K$.

From K acts on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by $K\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ $(k,x)\mapsto k\cdot x$ and $(\Psi(k)e^*)(e)=e^*(k^{-1}\cdot e)$ for any $e\in\mathbb{R}^n, e^*\in(\mathbb{R}^n)^* and\ k\in K$.

We obtain the induced action $\Psi: K\times(\mathbb{R}^n)^*\to(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$

by $(k,\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ \cdot\\ \cdot\\ \cdot\\ x_n\end{pmatrix})\to k^T\cdot\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ \cdot\\ \cdot\\ \cdot\\ x_n\end{pmatrix}$ If we denote $k^T\cdot\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ \cdot\\ \cdot\\ \cdot\\ x_n\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\Psi(k)x_1\\ \cdot\\ \cdot\\ \cdot\\ \Psi(k)x_n\end{pmatrix}$,

then we have $P(\Psi(k)x_1,\cdots,\Psi(k)x_n)=P(x_1,\cdots,x_n)\ \forall k\in K$. Note that $k\cdot k^T=I$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
&P(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},\cdots,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})f_v(g)=(u^*,(P(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},\cdots,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})e^{\lambda^T\cdot k^T\cdot x_0})v)\\
&=(u^*,P(\Psi(k^T)\lambda_1,\cdots,\Psi(k^T)\lambda_n)e^{\lambda^T\cdot k^T\cdot x_0}v)\\
&=(u^*,P(\Psi(k^{-1})\lambda_1,\cdots,\Psi(k^{-1})\lambda_n)e^{\lambda^T\cdot k^T\cdot x_0}v)\\
&=P(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n)(u^*,e^{\lambda^T\cdot k^T\cdot x_0}v)\\
&=P(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n)f_v(g).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.15}
$$

For any $P(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1},\cdots,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})\in D(G/K)\ \therefore f_v(g)\in E_\lambda$, which implies $H\subseteq E_\lambda$. This proves the theorem. □

*Remark* 3.16. From the construction of $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n\rtimes K}(\chi)$, we can see if $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n\rtimes K}(\chi)$ satisfies the generic property then its correspondence $(T_\lambda,E_\lambda)$ satisfies the same property. What's more, once $(T_\lambda,E_\lambda)$ is fixed, then $\lambda$ is unique, thus $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n\rtimes K}(\chi)$ is unique. Therefore, if we let $A=\{$the irreducible representation $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n\rtimes K}(\chi)$, which satisfies the generic property$\}$; $B=\{$Eigenspace Representation $(T_\lambda,E_\lambda)$, which satisfies the generic property$\}$, there is a one-one correspondence between $A$ and $B$.

*Remark* 3.17. For any finite pseudo-reflection group $K$, any $\chi$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is generic, there is a simple fact:

$$
Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n\rtimes K}(\chi)=\bigoplus_{k\in K}\chi^k \tag{3.18}
$$

Therefore dim $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n\rtimes K}(\chi)=\mid K\mid$

## 4. Irreducibility for eigenspace representations

In this section we first recall the invariant theory about finite groups and then use it to show the eigenspace representations which satisfy the generic property are irreducible.

**Notations** Let $K$ be any finite pseudo-reflection group and let $I(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of $K$-invariants in $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $I_+(\mathbb{R}^n)\subset I(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of $K$-invariants without constant term. Similarly, we define $I_+((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)\subset I((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)\subset$

$S((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$. An element $h \in S^c((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$ is said to be $K$-harmonic if $\partial(J)h = 0$ for all $J \in I_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here

$$(\partial(X)f)(Y) = (\frac{d}{dt}f(Y+tX))_{t=0}, f \in \varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^n); X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $H^c((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$ denote the set of $K$-harmonic polynomial functions.Put $H((\mathbb{R}^n)^*) = S((\mathbb{R}^n)^*) \bigcap H^c((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$. For simplicity, we let $S = S^c((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$,$I = I^c((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$, $H = H^c((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$, $I_+ \subset I$ be the space of invariants without constant term, $J$ the ideal $I_+S$.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let $K$ be a compact group of linear transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$ over $R$. Then $S((\mathbb{R}^n)^*) = I((\mathbb{R}^n)^*) \cdot H((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$ and therefore $S^c((\mathbb{R}^n)^*) = I^c((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)H^c((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$. That is, each polynomial $P$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ has the form $p = \sum_k i_k h_k$, where $i_k$ is $G$-invariant and $h_k$ harmonic.*

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let $K$ be a finite pseudo-reflection group acting on the $n$-dimensional real vector space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the algebra $I^c((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$ of invariants is generated by $n$ homogeneous elements, which are algebraically independent.*

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let $K$ be a finite pseudo-reflection group, then we obtain $\sum_0^\infty dim(I^k)t^k = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in K}(det(I-tg))^{-1}$, where $I$ is the identity operator on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^c$ and $I^k$ denotes the subspaces consisting of homogeneous elements of degree $k$.*

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let $K$ be a finite pseudo-reflection group acting on the real vector space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $j_1, \cdots, j_n$ be homogeneous generators for the algebra $I^c((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$ of $K$-invariants. Let $d_1, \cdots, d_n$be their respective degrees. Then*

$$\Pi_{i=1}^n d_i = \mid K \mid . \tag{4.6}$$

*Where $\mid K \mid$ denotes the order of $K$.*

*Proof.* By general theory(see[S2],PP.100), we have $trdeg(Q/C) = trdeg(Q/K) + trdeg(K/C)$. And we observe from it the $j_i$ are algebraically independent. Let $j \in I^k$, the space of homogeneous invariants of degree k. Then $j$ is a linear combination of monomials $j_1^{a_1} \cdots j_n^{a_n}$ for which

$$a_1 d_1 + \cdots + a_n d_n = k. \tag{4.7}$$

By the algebraic independence of the $j_i$, it follows that $\dim(I^k)$ equals the number of nonnegative integral solutions $(a_1, \cdots, a_n)$ to (4.7). Hence

$$\sum_0^\infty dim(I^k)t^k = (1-t^{d_1})^{-1} \cdots (1-t^{d_n})^{-1} \tag{4.8}$$

Combining this with Lemma 4.4[H3], we conclude

$$\mid K \mid \cdot \prod_{1\le i\le n}(1+t+\cdots+t^{d_i-1})^{-1} = \sum_{\sigma\in K} \cdot \prod_{1\le j\le n} \frac{1-t}{1-tC_{\sigma_j}} \tag{4.9}$$

If the $C_{\sigma_j}$ are the eigenvalues of $\sigma$ counted with multiplicity. Letting $t \to 1$, only the term $\sigma = 1$ on the right gives a contribution, so we obtain the formula of the lemma. □

**Lemma 4.10.** *Let $j_1 \cdots j_m \in I$ such that $j_1 \notin \sum_2^m j_s I$. If $q_1 \cdots q_m \in S$ are homogeneous elements such that $\sum_1^m j_s q_s = 0$, then $q_1 \in J$.*

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let $K$ be a finite pseudo-reflection group and let the notation be as above. Then $dim H = \mid K \mid$ and the mapping $\phi : j \bigotimes h \to jh$ extends to a linear bijection of $I \bigotimes H$ onto $S$. Moreover,*

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}(dim H^k)t^k = \prod_{1\leq i\leq n}(1 + t + \cdots + t^{d_i - 1}) \tag{4.12}$$

*Proof.* We know from Lemma 4.2[H3] that $\phi$ is surjective. To prove that it is injective, we must show that if $\Sigma_{r,s} a_{r,s} i_r h_s = 0$, where $a_{r,s} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\{i_r\}$and $\{h_s\}$ are homogeneous bases of the vector spaces $I$ and $H$, respectively, then $a_{r,s} = 0$. We write the relation in the form

$$\sum_s h_s(\sum_r a_{r,s} i_r) = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Put $I_s = \sum_r a_{r,s} i_r$. We have to prove that each $I_s = 0$ and for this it suffices to consider the case in which each $I_s$ is homogeneous and $\deg h_s + deg I_s$ the same for all $s$. Suppose there were an $I_s \neq 0$. We write it in the form

$$I_s = \sum a_{m_1,\cdots m_n,s} j_1^{m_1} \cdots j_n^{m_n} \tag{4.14}$$

with nonzero coefficients $a_{m_1,\cdots m_n,s}$. Then

$$\sum_{(m)}(\sum_s a_{m_1,\cdots m_n,s} h_s) j_1^{m_1} \cdots j_n^{m_n} = 0, \tag{4.15}$$

and at least one of the monomials $j_1^{m_1} \cdots j_n^{m_n}$ is not in the ideal in $I$ generated by the others. The corresponding term

$$\sum_s a_{m_1,\cdots m_n,s} h_s \tag{4.16}$$

then belongs to $J$ according to Lemma 4.10[H3]. But by $S^k((\mathbb{R}^n)^*) = (I_+((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)S((\mathbb{R}^n)^*))^k + H^k((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$, this term will have to vanish and then the linear independence of the $h_s$ gives the contradiction $a_{m_1,\cdots m_n,s} = 0$.

The identification $I \bigotimes H = S$ implies the identity

$$\sum_{k\geq 0}(dim I^k)t^k \sum_{l\geq 0}(dim H^l)t^l = \sum_{m\geq 0}(dim S^m)t^m. \tag{4.17}$$

Since the right-hand side equals $(1-t)^{-n}$ the formula for $(dim H^l)t^l$ follows from (4.8). Putting $t = 1$, we obtain the formula $\dim H = \mid K \mid$ form Lemma 4.5[S]. □

**Theorem 4.18.** *In the notation above, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we have $dim E_\lambda \leq \mid K \mid$. Furthermore, for the case when $\lambda$ is generic, $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$ is irreducible. If we let $A = \{Ind_{R^n}^{R^n \rtimes K}(\chi) \mid \chi$ is generic $\}$, $B = \{(T_\lambda, E_\lambda) \mid E_\lambda$ is generic$\}$. Then there exists equivalent one-one correspondence between the elements of $A$ and $B$.*

*Proof.* From the conclusion of Theorem 4.11[H3], dim$H$=$\mid K \mid$. Let $h_1, \cdots h_{|K|}$ be a basis of $H$ and let $H_1, \cdots H_{|K|}$ be the corresponding members of $S((\mathbb{R}^n)^c))$. [Under the identification of $(\mathbb{R}^n)^c$ and $((\mathbb{R}^n)^c)^*$ by means of $B$, which is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on $(\mathbb{R}^n)^c \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^c$]. Let $f \in E_\lambda$ and put for $x_0 \in R^n$

$$C_i(f) = (\partial(H_i)f)(x_0)(1 \leq i \leq \mid K \mid). \tag{4.19}$$

Since $S = IH$, it is clear that if $C_1(f) = \cdots C_{|K|}(f) = 0$, then $f = 0$. Thus the mapping

$$f \mapsto (C_1(f), \cdots, C_{|K|}(f)) \tag{4.20}$$

is one-to-one linear mapping of $E_\lambda$ into $\mathbb{C}^{|K|}$. So dim$E_\lambda \leq \mid K \mid$. Thus we have proved the first statement of the theorem.

For the second part, for any $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$ which satisfies the generic property, from the conclusion of Theorem 3.10, there exists $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K}(\chi)$ which satisfies the same property and a monomorphism from $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K}(\chi)$ to $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$. Therefore, dim$Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K}(\chi) \leq$ dim$E_\lambda \leq \mid K \mid$. However, according to Remark 3.16, we obtain dim$Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K}(\chi) = \mid K \mid$. Then dim$Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K}(\chi) =$ dim$E_\lambda = \mid K \mid$. Therefore $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^n}^{\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K}(\chi) \cong (T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$, which $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$ is irreducible. Furthermore,from Theorem 3.10, Remark 3.15 and the conclusion above, we obtain there exists equivalent one-one correspondence between the elements $A$ and $B$. □

## 5. The $D_n$ case

In this section, we use the knowledge of previous sections to calculate explicitly for a particular example when $G = R^2 \rtimes D_n$, $K = D_n$. Here $D_n$ is the dihendral group, its elements have the following form:

$$R_k = \begin{pmatrix} cos\frac{2\pi k}{n} & -sin\frac{2\pi k}{n} \\ sin\frac{2\pi k}{n} & cos\frac{2\pi k}{n} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$S_k = \begin{pmatrix} cos\frac{2\pi k}{n} & sin\frac{2\pi k}{n} \\ sin\frac{2\pi k}{n} & -cos\frac{2\pi k}{n} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.2}$$

$D_n$ **acts on** $(\mathbb{R}^2)^*$ Each $g \in D_n \subset GL(\mathbb{R}^2)$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and on $(\mathbb{R}^2)^*$ by standard action and $(\Psi(g)e^*)(e) = e^*(g^{-1} \cdot e)$, respectively. These actions extend to automorphisms of $S(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $S((\mathbb{R}^2)^*)$. According to the above definition, we can calculate the action explicitly.

Let $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $x_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$.

Let $\Psi(R_k)x_1 = a_1 \cdot x_1 + a_2 \cdot x_2$, where $\Psi$ is defined in the proof of Theorem 3.10, Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \Psi(R_k)x_1(e_1) = x_1(R_k^{-1} \cdot e_1) = x_1(R_k^T \cdot e_1) \\
&= x_1(\begin{pmatrix} cos\frac{2\pi k}{n} \\ -sin\frac{2\pi k}{n} \end{pmatrix}) = cos\frac{2\pi k}{n} \\
a_2 &= \Psi(R_k)x_1(e_2) = x_1(R_k^{-1} \cdot e_2) = sin\frac{2\pi k}{n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}
$$

$\therefore \Psi(R_k)x_1 = cos\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_1 + sin\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_2$. Similarly,$\Psi(R_k)x_2 = -sin\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_1 + cos\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_2$. $\therefore \Psi(R_k)\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = R_k^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$

Similarly,$\Psi(S_k)\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = S_k^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ $\forall 0 \leq k \leq n-1$.

**Lemma 5.4.** *For a finite group $G \subseteq GL(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $\mid G \mid = g$, then $C[x_1, \cdots, x_n]^G$ is generated by the $\begin{pmatrix} g+n \\ n \end{pmatrix}$ polynomials $\frac{1}{g}\sum_{M \in G} M \cdot f$, as $f$ ranges over all $\begin{pmatrix} g+n \\ n \end{pmatrix}$ monomials in the variables $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ of degree at most $g$.*

*Remark* 5.5. The above lemma is in fact showed by Nother(see[ZS],PP.275-276.]. Note that $D_n$ is a finite pseudo-reflection group. If we combine above lemma, the above calculation and Lemma 4.3, we can calculate $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]^{D_n}$ explicitly. Let's look at the following Proposition.

**Proposition 5.6.** *For general $n \geq 3$, we obtain $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]^{D_n} = \mathbb{C}[z \cdot \overline{z}, z^n + \overline{z}^n]$, where $z = x_1 + i \cdot x_2$.*

*Proof.* We consider $f_1 = x_1^2$ and $f_2 = x_1^n$. According to Lemma 5.4, $\sum_{M \in D_n} M \cdot f_1, \sum_{M \in D_n} M \cdot f_2 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]^{D_n}$.

Note that for any $m \in N$, the following equations hold

(5.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(cos\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_1 + sin\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_2)^m = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(cos\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_1 + sin\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_2)^m \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n}(cos\frac{2\pi(n-k)}{n} \cdot x_1 + sin\frac{2\pi(n-k)}{n} \cdot x_2)^m = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(cos\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_1 - sin\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_2)^m \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(cos\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_1 - sin\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_2)^m.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, according to the above calculation, we obtain

(5.8)

$$\sum_{M\in D_n} M\cdot f_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(cos\frac{2\pi k}{n}\cdot x_1 - sin\frac{2\pi k}{n}\cdot x_2)^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(cos\frac{2\pi k}{n}\cdot x_1 + sin\frac{2\pi k}{n}\cdot x_2)^2$$
$$= 2\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(cos^2\frac{2\pi k}{n}\cdot x_1^2 + sin^2\frac{2\pi k}{n}\cdot x_2^2)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}((1+cos\frac{4\pi k}{n})\cdot x_1^2 + (1-cos\frac{4\pi k}{n})\cdot x_2^2).$$

For $n\geq 3$, $\frac{4\pi}{n}\neq 2\pi k$ $\therefore \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{i\cdot\frac{4\pi k}{n}} = 0$, which implies $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} cos\frac{4\pi k}{n} = 0$
$\therefore \sum_{M\in D_n} M\cdot f_1 = n\cdot(x_1^2+x_2^2)$

(5.9) $$\therefore z\cdot\overline{z} = (x_1+i\cdot x_2)(x_1-i\cdot x_2) = x_1^2+x_2^2 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2]^{D_n}$$

Next, we prove $z^n+\overline{z}^n\in\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2]^{D_n}$. The general idea is as follows:

Firstly, we compute when $n=2m+1$, $z^n+\overline{z}^n\in\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2]^{D_n}$. What's more, using the same method, we can compute when $n=2m, z^{2m}+\mid z\mid^{2m}$ $+\overline{z}^{2m}\in\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2]^{D_n}$. Since $\mid z\mid^{2m}= (x_1^2+x_2^2)^m$, $z^{2m}+\overline{z}^{2m}\in\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2]^{D_n}$.

Now, we give the concrete proof as follows:

When $n = 2m+1$, let $z = ae^{i\theta} = x_1 + i \cdot x_2$. Therefore, $\mid z \mid = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$.

(5.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{M \in D_n} M \cdot f_2 \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}((cos^2\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_1 - sin^2\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_2)^{2m+1} + (cos^2\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_1 + sin^2\frac{2\pi k}{n} \cdot x_2)^{2m+1}) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}((\frac{e^{i\cdot\frac{2\pi k}{n}}z + e^{-i\cdot\frac{2\pi k}{n}}\overline{z}}{2})^{2m+1} + (\frac{e^{-i\cdot\frac{2\pi k}{n}}z + e^{i\cdot\frac{2\pi k}{n}}\overline{z}}{2})^{2m+1}) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}((\frac{a\cdot e^{i\cdot(\theta+\frac{2\pi k}{n})} + a\cdot e^{-i\cdot(\theta+\frac{2\pi k}{n})}}{2})^{2m+1} + (\frac{a\cdot e^{i\cdot(\theta-\frac{2\pi k}{n})} + a\cdot e^{-i\cdot(\theta-\frac{2\pi k}{n})}}{2})^{2m+1}) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(\sum_{l=0}^{2m+1}\frac{C_{2m+1}^l a^{2m+1-l}e^{i(\theta+\frac{2\pi k}{n})(2m+1-l)}a^l e^{-i(\theta+\frac{2\pi k}{n})}}{2^{2m+1}} \\
&+ \sum_{l=0}^{2m+1}\frac{C_{2m+1}^l a^{2m+1-l}e^{i(\theta-\frac{2\pi k}{n})(2m+1-l)}a^l e^{-i(\theta-\frac{2\pi k}{n})}}{2^{2m+1}}) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(\sum_{l=0}^{2m+1}\frac{C_{2m+1}^l a^{2m+1}e^{i\theta(2m+1-2l)}e^{i\frac{2m+1-2l}{n}2\pi k}}{2^{2m+1}} \\
&+ \sum_{l=0}^{2m+1}\frac{C_{2m+1}^l a^{2m+1}e^{i\theta(2m+1-2l)}e^{-i\frac{2m+1-2l}{n}2\pi k}}{2^{2m+1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$e^{i\frac{2m+1-2l}{n}2\pi} = 1 \Longleftrightarrow l = 2m+1, 0 \Longleftrightarrow e^{-i\frac{2m+1-2l}{n}2\pi} = 1 \tag{5.11}$$

Therefore,

(5.12)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{M \in D_n} M \cdot f_2 = \frac{1}{2^{2m+1}}(C_{2m+1}^0 a^{2m+1}e^{i\theta(2m+1)} + C_{2m+1}^0 a^{2m+1}e^{i\theta(2m+1)} \\
&+ C_{2m+1}^{2m+1}a^{2m+1}e^{-i\theta(2m+1)} + C_{2m+1}^{2m+1}a^{2m+1}e^{-i\theta(2m+1)}) \\
&= \frac{2}{2^{2m+1}}((ae^{i\theta})^{2m+1} + (ae^{-i\theta})^{2m+1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^{2m}}(z^{2m+1} + \overline{z}^{2m+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, when $n = 2m+1, z^n + \overline{z}^n \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]^{D_n}$.

Similarly, we can show when $n = 2m, z^n + \overline{z}^n \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]^{D_n}$.

Therefore, $z \cdot \overline{z}$ and $z^n + \overline{z}^n \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]^{D_n}$ for $\forall n \geq 3$. Since $z \cdot \overline{z}$ and $z^n + \overline{z}^n$ are algebraiclly independent, according to Lemma 4.3, we obtain $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]^{D_n} = \mathbb{C}[z \cdot \overline{z}, z^n + \overline{z}^n]$. □

*Remark* 5.13. According to Lemma 2.3, for every $E_\lambda$,$\exists \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^\lambda \\ \mu_2^\lambda \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2$ s.t.

$$E_\lambda = \{f \in \varepsilon(R^2) \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} f = \mu_1^\lambda f \ \ ((\frac{\partial}{\partial z})^n + (\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}})^n) f = \mu_2^\lambda f\} \tag{5.14}$$

*Remark* 5.15. Let notation as above,

(5.16)

$$H = \{f \in \varepsilon(R^2) \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} f = 0 \ \ ((\frac{\partial}{\partial z})^n + (\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}})^n) f = 0\}$$
$$= \mathbb{C} \bigoplus \mathbb{C}Z \bigoplus \mathbb{C}\overline{Z} \bigoplus \mathbb{C}Z^2 \bigoplus \mathbb{C}\overline{Z}^2 \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus \mathbb{C}Z^{n-1} \bigoplus \mathbb{C}\overline{Z}^{n-1} \bigoplus \mathbb{C}(Z^n - \overline{Z}^n).$$

Therefore, $\dim H = 2n = \mid D_n \mid$.

**Proposition 5.17.** *Let $\chi(x) = e^{-\lambda^T \cdot x}$, where $\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$ be generic. Then $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^2}^{\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes D_n}(\chi)$ is equivalent to $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$, where $E_\lambda = \{f \in \varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} f = \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) f \ \ ((\frac{\partial}{\partial z})^n + (\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}})^n) f = \frac{1}{2^n}((\lambda_1 - i\lambda_2)^n + (\lambda_1 + i\lambda_2)^n) f\}$ Furthermore, $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$ is irreducible and all eigenspace representations of $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes D_n$, which satisfy the generic property is of this form.*

*Proof.* According to Theorem 4.14, we obtain $Ind_{\mathbb{R}^2}^{\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes D_n}(\chi)$ is equivalent to $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$. Therefore $(T_\lambda, E_\lambda)$ is irreducible. Note that $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{i}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{i}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} &= P_1(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}) \\ &= (\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{i}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2})(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{i}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}((\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1})^2 + (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2})^2) \\ (\frac{\partial}{\partial z})^n + (\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}})^n &= P_2(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n}((\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2})^n + (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2})^n). \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

Then we obtain

(5.19)

$$E_\lambda = \{f \in \varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid P(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}) f = P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) f, \ \forall P(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}) \in D(\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes D_n / D_n)\}$$
$$= \{f \in \varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid P_1(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}) f = P_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) f, P_2(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}) f = P_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) f\}$$
$$= \{f \in \varepsilon(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} f = \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) f \ \ ((\frac{\partial}{\partial z})^n + (\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}})^n) f = \frac{1}{2^n}((\lambda_1 - i\lambda_2)^n + (\lambda_1 + i\lambda_2)^n) f\}.$$

Finally, from the conclusion of Remark 3.15, we can get all eigenspace representations of $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes D_n$, which satisfy the generic property is of this form. $\square$

*Remark* 5.20. In this paper, we mainly consider the irreducibility of the eigenspace representations of $G = \mathbb{R}^n \rtimes K$, where $K$ is a finite pseudo-reflection group. In fact, the same problem for the general case when $K$ is any closed subgroup of $O(n)$ is also of great value.

## References


[H1] S. Helgason, *A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations,II.Differential equations and eigenspace representations*, Advances in Mathematics **22** (1976), 187–219.

[H2] S. Helgason, *Eigenspaces of the Laplacians;integral representations and irreducibility*, J. FunctionalMath Anal. **17** (1974), 328-353.

[J] Wolf, Joseph A. *Spherical functions on Euclidean space* , J. in Funct. Anal. (2006),No.1,127-136.

[H3] S. Helgason, *Goups and Geometric Analysis:Integral Geometry,Invariant Differential Operators,and Spherical Functions*, Academic Press. (1984).

[S1] Robert Steinberg *Differential equations invariant under finite reflection groups*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society. Vol. 112, No. 3 (Sep., 1964), pp. 392-400

[S2] Richard P. Stanley *Invariants of finite groups and their applications to combinatorics*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1979), 475–511.

[ZS] Zariski.O., Samuel,P, *Commutative Algebra Vol.I.*, New York (1958).

[W] Weyl, *The classical groups,2nd ed;*, Princeton.Univ.Press,Princeton,N.J., (1953).



(Xu) Department of Mathematics, Hong Kong University of Science and technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, China

*E-mail address*: jxuad@ust.hk